Item 5 – Public Participation From Chris Caswill To the Chairman

Question (Q21-02)

The only item on this Health Select Agenda which even begins to address the currently very serious health delivery issues for the people of Wiltshire is the one titled: South Western Ambulance Service Trust - Engagement with the Health Select Committee - where the possibility is floated of a discussion of the ambulance service's performance levels. In the meantime, in the real world, Wiltshire's COVID levels have increasing dramatically, to the point where the County is reported to have the worst figures in the country. This in turn must be putting further strain on Accident and Emergency services and staff, at a time when A&E Departments are said by many reliable sources to be at breaking point. Hospitals are reporting many Never events and many are at the highest levels of alert. Hospital waiting lists are now at record levels, and even cancer services are being delayed. At the same time, there is growing public and Government concern about access to GPs and GPs are threatening to go on strike.

My question is - why has this storm of issues passed this committee by? Why do almost none of them appear on your agenda for scrutiny, nor even on your Forward Work Programme? How is this compatible with your remit which includes the remit to "review and scrutinise any matter relating to the planning, provision and operation of health services in Wiltshire"? Could it be that you are ideologically accepting the Government's unevidenced claims that there are no crises in the health services, rather than doing your job of evidenced scrutiny on behalf of the people of Wiltshire?

Response

A verbal response will be provided at the meeting.

Question (Q21-03)

The paper on Integrated Care Services which is on its way to Cabinet is no doubt intelligible to those who are closely involved in yet another reorganisation of health and social care cooperation and partnership. I suggest to you that it is almost entirely unintelligible to the public whose interests this Committee is in place to serve. This is especially the case where it concerns differences these lists and charts and system diagrams and words are actually going to deliver, and how success (or otherwise) will be measured. A small example of the unintelligibility of the proposals can be found in para 8: The ICS NHS Body will also merge the functions of non-statutory

STPs/ICSs with the functions of a CCG. Even when it comes to upcoming projects, only three specific and comprehensible intentions do creep into the text at the end of para 26 alongside the raft of no doubt well meaning but vague general aims. So my question is:

Will the Committee ask the Cabinet to authorise a Plain English version of this document, and ask for a much clearer statement of the projects to be undertaken and the difference these collaborations will make to the day to day lives of Wiltshire residents?

Response

A verbal response will be provided at the meeting